Dynamic Macroeconomics Problem Set 1

Universität Siegen

Table of Contents

1 Cobb-Douglas Production Function

2 Simple Macroeconomic Model

3 Reading Exercise

Cobb-Douglas Production Function



a) Properties i and ii

• Consider the Cobb-Douglas production function

$$Y = F(K, L) = \bar{A}K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$$

where K is the capital stock and L the labor force.

• The Cobb-Douglas function is homogeneous of degree one, since

$$F(\lambda K, \lambda L) = \bar{A}(\lambda K)^{\alpha} (\lambda L)^{1-\alpha}$$
$$= \bar{A}\lambda^{\alpha+1-\alpha} K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$$
$$= \lambda F(K, L)$$

• Both factors of production are necessary, since

$$F(0,L) = ar{A} imes 0^{lpha} L^{1-lpha} = 0 = F(K,0) = ar{A} imes K^{lpha} 0^{1-lpha}.$$

a) Properties iii and iv

• The marginal product of capital is

$$\frac{\partial F(K,L)}{\partial K} = \alpha \bar{A} K^{\alpha-1} L^{1-\alpha} = \underbrace{\alpha}_{>0} \underbrace{\bar{A} \left(\frac{L}{K}\right)^{1-\alpha}}_{>0} > 0$$

• For which

$$\lim_{K \to 0} F_K(K, L) = \lim_{K \to 0} \alpha \bar{A} \left(\frac{L}{K}\right)^{1-\alpha} = \alpha \bar{A} L^{1-\alpha} \lim_{K \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{1-\alpha} = \infty$$
$$\lim_{K \to \infty} F_K(K, L) = \lim_{K \to \infty} \alpha \bar{A} \left(\frac{L}{K}\right)^{1-\alpha} = \alpha \bar{A} L^{1-\alpha} \lim_{K \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{1-\alpha} = 0$$

• For *L* the derivation is similar.

b) Elasticity of Y w.r.t. K

• The elasticity of Y w.r.t. K is given by

$$\epsilon_{Y,K} = \frac{\partial F(K,L)}{\partial K} \frac{K}{Y}$$
$$= \alpha \bar{A} K^{\alpha-1} L^{1-\alpha} \frac{K}{Y}$$
$$= \alpha \bar{A} K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{Y}$$

 $= \alpha$

- If K increase by one percentage point, Y will increase by α percentage points.
- The elasticity of Y with respect to L is 1α .

c) and d) Wages and rental rates

- On competitive markets, factors of production are paid their marginal product.
- Therefore the wage is $w = F_L$ and the share of wage income in total income is

$$\frac{wL}{Y} = \frac{F_L L}{Y} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\bar{A}K^{\alpha}L^{-\alpha}L}{\bar{A}K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}} = \frac{(1-\alpha)F(K,L)}{F(K,L)} = 1-\alpha$$

• Therefore the rental rate of capital is $r = F_K$ and the share of capital income in total income is

$$\frac{rK}{Y} = \frac{F_K K}{Y} = \frac{\alpha \bar{A} K^{\alpha - 1} L^{1 - \alpha} K}{\bar{A} K^{\alpha} L^{1 - \alpha}} = \frac{\alpha F(K, L)}{F(K, L)} = \alpha$$

• For Cobb-Douglas production function, the income share going to the different factors of production is constant.

Wage shares in some countries



e) Per-capita production function

 To derive the production function per capita, start with the production function for total output and divide by the labor force:

$$Y = \bar{A}K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha} \qquad |:L$$

$$\frac{Y}{L} = \bar{A}K^{\alpha}\frac{L^{1-\alpha}}{L} \qquad |y \equiv \frac{Y}{L}$$

$$y = \bar{A}K^{\alpha}L^{-\alpha} \qquad |k \equiv \frac{K}{L}$$

$$y = \bar{A}\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha} \qquad |k \equiv \frac{K}{L}$$

f) Interpreting \bar{A}



Table of Contents

D Cobb-Douglas Production Function

2 Simple Macroeconomic Model



a) Firms optimization problem

• The firms optimization problem is

$$\max_{K,L} \bar{A}K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha} - wL - rK$$

taking the factor prices w and r as given.

• The first order conditions for K and L are

$$\alpha \bar{A} K^{\alpha - 1} L^{1 - \alpha} = r$$
$$(1 - \alpha) \bar{A} K^{\alpha} L^{-\alpha} = w$$

Interpretation?

(1)

a) Firms optimization problem ii

- How does demand for L change, if w changes?
- Start with F.O.C. for general function F(K, L) and use the implicit function theorem

 $F_L(K, L) = w$ $F_L(K, h(L)) = w$

• Take derivative w.r.t. wage (using the chain rule) gives

$$F_{L,L}(K, h(L)) * h'(L) = 1$$
$$h'(L) = \frac{1}{F_{L,L}}$$

• Sign?

a) Firms optimization problem iii

- What determines the trade-off between K and L?
- From F.O.C get

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\frac{L}{K} = \frac{r}{w}$$

- First assume $\alpha = 0.5$ and r = w. Then $\frac{L}{K} = 1$. Interpretation?
- Now assume $\alpha = 0.5$ but r > w. Then $\frac{r}{w} > 1$ and thus $\frac{L}{K} > 1$. Interpretation?
- Now assume r = w but $\alpha < 0.5$. Then

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\frac{L}{K} = 1$$
$$\frac{L}{K} = \underbrace{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}_{>1} 1 > 1$$

Interpretation?

• (General tip: Look at the extreme case to make sense of the economic mechanisms at hand)

b) Endogenous and exogenous variables

Table: Endogenous and Exogenous Variables

| Symbol | Name | Туре |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| $L^s = \overline{L}$ | Supply of Labor by Households | Exogenous |
| $K^s = \bar{K}$ | Supply of Capital by Households | Exogenous |
| Ā | Productivity parameter | Exogenous |
| α | Production function parameter | Exogenous |
| L ^d | Demand of Labor by firms | Endogenous |
| K ^d | Demand of Capital by firms | Endogenous |
| L* | Equilibrium Level of Labor | Endogenous |
| K^* | Equilibrium Level of Capital | Endogenous |
| Y^* | Equilibrium Level of Production | Endogenous |

c) General Equilibrium I

• Market clearing condition for Labor

$$L^s = L^d$$

• Market clearing condition for Capital

$$K^s = K^d$$

- Firm demand for capital and labor from first order conditions.
- Solution for labor

$$L^* = \overline{L}$$

Solution for capital

$$K^* = \bar{K}$$

Solution for production

$$Y^* = \bar{A}\bar{K}^{\alpha}\bar{L}^{1-\alpha}$$

d) Observed and predicted y

| Country | Observed k | Observed y | Predicted y^* |
|---------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| United States | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Japan | 1.0949 | 0.7276 | 1.0307 |
| Italy | 1.0385 | 0.6949 | 1.0127 |
| Brazil | 0.2420 | 0.2129 | 0.6232 |
| China | 0.2299 | 0.1816 | 0.6126 |
| India | 0.0057 | 0.0812 | 0.1786 |
| South Africa | 0.1410 | 0.1907 | 0.5205 |

Table: Model prediction for GDP per capita relative to USA

e) Implied \bar{A}

| Country | Observed k | Observed y | Implied A | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|--|
| United States | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Japan | 1.0949 0.7276 | | 0.7059 | |
| Italy | 1.0385 | 0.6949 | 0.6171 | |
| Brazil | 0.2420 | 0.2129 | 0.3402 | |
| China | 0.2299 | 0.1816 | 0.2964 | |
| India | 0.0057 | 0.0812 | 0.4546 | |
| South Africa | 0.1410 | 0.1907 | 0.3664 | |

Table: Model prediction for \overline{A} relative to USA

f) Results from MatLab i)



f) Results from MatLab ii)



Table of Contents

D Cobb-Douglas Production Function

2 Simple Macroeconomic Model



Table 1 of Hall/Jones (1999)

| | | Contribution from | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Country | Y/L | (K/Y) ^{n/(1-n)} | H/L | A |
| United States | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Canada | 0.941 | 1.002 | 0.908 | 1.034 |
| Italy | 0.834 | 1.063 | 0.650 | 1.207 |
| West Germany | 0.818 | 1.118 | 0.802 | 0.912 |
| France | 0.818 | 1.091 | 0.666 | 1.126 |
| United Kingdom | 0.727 | 0.891 | 0.808 | 1.011 |
| Hong Kong | 0.608 | 0.741 | 0.735 | 1.115 |
| Singapore | 0.606 | 1.031 | 0.545 | 1.078 |
| Japan | 0.587 | 1.119 | 0.797 | 0.658 |
| Mexico | 0.433 | 0.868 | 0.538 | 0.926 |
| Argentina | 0.418 | 0.953 | 0.676 | 0.648 |
| U.S.S.R. | 0.417 | 1.231 | 0.724 | 0.468 |
| India | 0.086 | 0.709 | 0.454 | 0.267 |
| China | 0.060 | 0.891 | 0.632 | 0.106 |
| Kenya | 0.056 | 0.747 | 0.457 | 0.165 |
| Zaire | 0.033 | 0.499 | 0.408 | 0.160 |
| Average, 127 countries: | 0.296 | 0.853 | 0.565 | 0.516 |
| Standard deviation: | 0.268 | 0.234 | 0.168 | 0.325 |
| Correlation with Y/L (logs) | 1.000 | 0.624 | 0.798 | 0.889 |
| Correlation with A (logs) | 0.889 | 0.248 | 0.522 | 1.000 |

TABLE I PRODUCTIVITY CALCULATIONS: RATIOS TO U. S. VALUES

The elements of this table are the empirical counterparts to the components of equation (3), all measured